arXiv:1612.08257v1 [math.AC] 25 Dec 2016

Tensor products and direct limits of almost Cohen-Macaulay modules

Cristodor Ionescu and Samaneh Tabejamaat

December 28, 2016

Abstract

We investigate the almost Cohen-Macaulay property and the Serre-type condition (C_n), $n \in \mathbb{N}$, for noetherian algebras and modules. More precisely, we find permanence properties of these conditions with respect to tensor products and direct limits.

1 Introduction

All rings considered will be commutative, with unit and noetherian. All modules are supposed to be finitely generated.

Almost Cohen-Macaulay rings appeared from a flaw in Matsumura's book [13] and were first studied by Han [9] and afterwards by Kang [11], who introduced the notion of almost Cohen-Macaulay modules. The first author considered the condition (C_n), where n is a natural number [10], inspired by the well-known condition (S_n) of Serre and characterized almost Cohen-Macaulay rings using this notion. The notion of a module satisfying the condition (C_n) was defined and studied by the second author and A. Mafi [17].

We study the behaviour of the condition (C_n), $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and of almost Cohen-Macaulayness with respect to tensor product of A-modules and of A-algebras. We show that a ring having a module of finite projective dimension satisfying the condition (C_n), must satisfy itself the condition (C_n), a property that was already proved for the condition (S_n). We investigate also the behaviour of the condition (C_n) and of almost Cohen-Macaulayness to direct limits.

2 Tensor products of almost Cohen-Macaulay algebras

We begin by recalling the notions and basic facts that will be needed in the paper.

Definition 2.1 [11, Definition 1.2] *Let A be a ring and M be an A-module. We say that M is an almost Cohen-Macaulay A-module if $P \in \mathrm{Supp}(M)$ we have*

$\operatorname{depth}(P, M) = \operatorname{depth}_{A_P}(M_P)$. *$A$ is called an almost Cohen-Macaulay ring if it is an almost Cohen-Macaulay A-module.*

Remark 2.2 *Let (A, m) be a noetherian local ring and M a finitely generated A-module. Then it follows at once by* [11, Lemma 1.5 and Lemma 2.4] *that M is almost Cohen-Macaulay iff* $\dim(M) \leq \operatorname{depth}(M) + 1$.

Definition 2.3 [17, Definition 2.1] *Let A be a ring, n a natural number and M an $A-$module. We say that M satisfies the condition (C_n) if for every $P \in \operatorname{Supp}(M)$ we have* $\operatorname{depth}(M_P) \geq \min(n, \operatorname{ht}_M(P)) - 1$. *If A satifies the condition (C_n) as an A-module, we say that the ring A satisfies (C_n).*

Remark 2.4 *Recall that an $A-$module M is said to satisfy Serre's condition (S_n) if for every $P \in \operatorname{Supp}(M)$ we have* $\operatorname{depth}(M_P) \geq \min(n, \operatorname{ht}_M(P))$. *Hence obviously if M satisfies the condition (S_n), it satisfies also the condition (C_n).*

Lemma 2.5 *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and M be an A-module. If M satisfies the condition (S_n), then it satisfies the condition (C_{n+1}).*

Proof: Let $P \in \operatorname{Supp}(M)$. Then by the condition (S_n) we have $\operatorname{depth}(M_P) \geq \min(\operatorname{ht}_M(P), n)$. Suppose first that $n < \operatorname{ht}_M(P)$. Then $\min(\operatorname{ht}_M(P), n) = n$, hence $\operatorname{depth}(M_P) \geq n$. But $n+1 \leq \operatorname{ht}_M(P)$, hence $\min(n+1, \operatorname{ht}_M(P)) = n+1$. Then $\min(n+1, \operatorname{ht}_M(P)) - 1 = n+1-1 = n$. It follows that $\operatorname{depth}(M_P) \geq n = \min(n+1, \operatorname{ht}_M(P)) - 1$.

Suppose now that $n \geq \operatorname{ht}_M(P)$. Then $\min(n, \operatorname{ht}_M(P)) = \operatorname{ht}_M(P)$, hence by (S_n) we have $\operatorname{depth}(M_P) = \operatorname{ht}_M(P)$. But $n+1 > \operatorname{ht}_M(P)$, hence $\min(n+1, \operatorname{ht}_M(P)) - 1 = \operatorname{ht}_M(P) - 1 < \operatorname{ht}_M(P) = \operatorname{depth}(M_P)$.

Lemma 2.6 *Let $u : A \to B$ be a flat morphism of noetherian rings. If B is almost Cohen-Macaulay, then all the fibers of u are almost Cohen-Macaulay.*

Proof: Let $P \in \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ and let $QB_P/PB_P \in \operatorname{Spec}(B_P/PB_P)$. Then the morphism $A_P \to B_Q$ is flat and local and by [10, Proposition 2.2,a)] it follows that $B_Q/PB_Q = (B_P/PB_P)_{QB_P/PB_P}$ is almost Cohen-Macaulay. From [11, Lemma 2.6] we obtain that B_P/PB_P is almost Cohen-Macaulay.

Proposition 2.7 *Let k be a field, A and B be $k-$algebras such that $A \otimes_k B$ is noetherian and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then:*

i) If $A \otimes_k B$ satisfies the condition (C_n), then A and B satisfy the condition (C_n);

ii) If A and B satisfy the condition (C_n) and one of them satisfies the condition (S_n), then $A \otimes_k B$ satisfies the condition (C_n);

iii) If A and B satisfy the condition (S_n), then $A \otimes_k B$ satisfies the condition (C_{n+1}).

Proof: i) Follows from [10, Proposition 3.12].
ii) Follows from [10, Proposition 3.13].
iii) Follows from [18, Theorem 6,b)] and Lemma 2.5.

Corollary 2.8 *Let k be a field, A and B be $k-$algebras such that $A \otimes_k B$ is noetherian. Then:*

i) If $A \otimes_k B$ is almost Cohen-Macaulay, then A and B are almost Cohen-Macaulay;

ii) If A and B are almost Cohen-Macaulay and moreover one of them is Cohen-Macaulay, then $A \otimes_k B$ is almost Cohen-Macaulay.

Proof: Follows from 2.7 and [10, Theorem 3.3].

Example 2.9 *Let $A = k[x^4, x^5, xy, y]_{(x^4,x^5,xy,y)}$. Then A is a noetherian local domain of dimension 2, hence by remark 2.2 it is almost Cohen-Macaulay. Since $x^5y = x^4xy \in x^4A$ and $x^5(xy)^3 = x^8y^3 \in x^4A$, it follows that* $\operatorname{depth}(A) = 1$. *But $A \otimes_k A$ is not almost Cohen-Macaulay, because by* [7, Lemma 2] *we get* $\dim(A \otimes_k A) = 4$ *and* $\operatorname{depth}(A \otimes_k A) = 2$. *The first example with this property was given by Tabaâ* [16, Exemple].

Proposition 2.10 *Let $u : A \to B$ be a morphism of noetherian rings, M a finitely generated A-module, N a finitely generated B-module and n a natural number. Suppose that N is a flat A-module. We consider the structure of $M \otimes_A N$ as a B-module. Then:*

i) If $M \otimes_A N$ satisfies the condition (C_n), then M satisfies the condition (C_n);

ii) If M and N_P/PN_P satisfy the condition (S_n), for any $P \in \operatorname{Spec}(A)$, then $M \otimes_A N$ satisfies the condition (S_n);

iii) If M satisfies the condition (S_n) and N_P/PN_P satisfies the condition (C_n) for any $P \in \operatorname{Supp}(M)$, then $M \otimes_A N$ satisfies the condition (C_n);

iv) If M satisfies the condition (C_n) and N_P/PN_P satisfies the condition (S_n) for any $P \in \operatorname{Supp}(M)$, then $M \otimes_A N$ satisfies the condition (C_n).;

v) If M and N_P/PN_P satisfy the condition (S_n), for any $P \in \operatorname{Supp}(M)$, then $M \otimes_A N$ satisfies the condition (C_{n+1}).

Proof: i) Let $P \in \operatorname{Supp}(M), Q \in \operatorname{Min}(PB)$. By [4, Proposition 1.2.16 and Theorem A.11], the flatness of u implies that

$$\operatorname{depth}_{B_Q}(M_P \otimes_{A_P} N_Q) = \operatorname{depth}_{A_P}(M_P) + \operatorname{depth}_{B_Q}(N_Q/PN_Q)$$

and

$$\dim_{B_Q}(M_P \otimes_{A_P} N_Q) = \dim_{A_P}(M_P) + \dim_{B_Q}(N_Q/PN_Q).$$

But $\dim_{B_Q}(N_Q/PN_Q) = 0$ and $M_P \otimes_{A_P} N_Q$ satisfies the condition (C_n), hence

$$\operatorname{depth}_{A_P}(M_P) \geq \min(n, \dim_{A_P}(M_P)) - 1,$$

that is M satisfies the condition (C_n).

ii) Let $Q \in \operatorname{Supp}_B(M \otimes_A N)$ and $P = Q \cap A$. As above we have

$$\operatorname{depth}_{B_Q}(M_P \otimes_{A_P} N_Q) \geq \min(n, \dim_{A_P}(M_P)) + \min(n, \dim_{B_Q}(N_Q/PN_Q)) \geq$$

$$\geq \min(n, \dim_{B_Q}(M_P \otimes_{A_P} N_Q)).$$

iii) and iv) The proof is similar to the proof of assertion i).

v) Follow from ii) and lemma 2.5.

Proposition 2.11 *Let $u : A \to B$ be a morphism of noetherian rings, M a finitely generated A-module, N a finitely generated B-module and n a natural number. Suppose that N is a flat A-module. We consider the structure of $M \otimes_A N$ as a B-module. Then:*

i) If $M \otimes_A N$ is almost Cohen-Macaulay, then M and N_P/PN_P are almost Cohen-Macaulay for any $P \in \mathrm{Supp}(M)$;

ii) If M is almost Cohen-Macaulay and N_P/PN_P is Cohen-Macaulay for any $P \in \mathrm{Supp}(M)$, then $M \otimes_A N$ is almost Cohen-Macaulay;

iii) If M is Cohen-Macaulay and N_P/PN_P is almost Cohen-Macaulay for any $P \in \mathrm{Supp}(M)$, then $M \otimes_A N$ is almost Cohen-Macaulay.

Proof: i) From [10, Theorem 3.3] and Proposition 2.10 i), it follows at once that M is almost Cohen-Macaulay. Let $P \in \mathrm{Supp}_A(M)$. We have

$$\mathrm{Supp}_{B_P/PB_P}(N_P/PN_P) =$$

$$= \{QB_P/PB_P \mid Q \in \mathrm{Supp}_B(N) \cap V(PB), Q \cap (A \setminus P) = \emptyset \}.$$

Hence, let $QB_P/PB_P \in \mathrm{Supp}_{B_P/PB_P}(N_P/PN_P)$. Since $M \otimes_A N$ is an almost Cohen-Macaulay $B-$module, by [11, Lemma 2.6] we get that $M_P \otimes_{A_P} N_Q$ is an almost Cohen-Macaulay B_Q-module, as $Q \in \mathrm{Supp}(M \otimes_A N)$. Since u is flat, M_P is finitely generated and N_Q is finitely generated B_Q-module and flat A_P-module, by [12, Proposition 2.7] it follows that N_Q/PN_Q is an almost Cohen-Macaulay B_Q/PB_Q-module. Now by [11, Lemma 2.6] we obtain that N_P/PN_P is an almost Cohen-Macaulay B_P/PB_P-module.
ii) and iii) Follows from 2.10 and [10, Theorem 3.3].

Lemma 2.12 *Let R be a commutative ring, A and B be R-algebras such that $A \otimes_R B$ is noetherian. Let $P \in \mathrm{Spec}(A \otimes_R B)$ and set $p := P \cap A, q = P \cap B, r := P \cap R$. Assume that A_p is flat over R_r. Then:*

i) If $(A \otimes_R B)_P$ is almost Cohen-Macaulay, then B_q and A_p/rA_p are almost Cohen-Macaulay;

ii) If A_p/rA_p and B_q are almost Cohen-Macaulay and one of them is Cohen-Macaulay, then $(A \otimes_R B)_P$ is almost Cohen-Macaulay.

Proof: Follows at once from [3, Corollary 2.8].

Corollary 2.13 *Let R be a commutative ring, A and B be R-algebras such that $A \otimes_R B$ is noetherian. Let $P \in \mathrm{Spec}(A \otimes_R B)$ and set $p := P \cap A, q = P \cap B, r := P \cap R$. Assume that A_p and B_q are flat over R_r. Then:*

i) If $(A \otimes_R B)_P$ is almost Cohen-Macaulay, then A_p and B_q are almost Cohen-Macaulay;

ii) If A_p and B_q are almost Cohen-Macaulay and one of them is Cohen-Macaulay, then $(A \otimes_R B)_P$ is almost Cohen-Macaulay.

Proof: i) Follows from 2.12 and the flatness of A_p and B_q over R_r.
ii) Since $R_r \to A_p$ is flat, by [10, Proposition 2.2] it follows that A_p/rA_p is almost Cohen-Macaulay. Note that in case A_p is Cohen-Macaulay, A_p/rA_p is Cohen-Macaulay too. Now the assertion follows from 2.12, ii).

Example 2.14 *In the previous corollary, the assumption in ii) that one of the rings is Cohen-Macaulay is necessary. Indeed, consider again the ring in example 2.9, that is* $A = B = k[x^4, x^5, xy, y]_{(x^4,x^5,xy,y)}$ *and let* $R = k[x^4]$. *By [3, Proposition 2.1, 2)], there is* $P \in \mathrm{Spec}(A \otimes_R B)$ *such that* $P \cap A$ *is the maximal ideal of* A. *Then by [3, Corollary 2.6] it follows that* $(A \otimes_R B)_P$ *is not almost Cohen-Macaulay. As we saw in 2.9 the ring* $A_p = B_q$ *is almost Cohen-Macaulay.*

Corollary 2.15 *Let* R *be a commutative ring,* A *and* B *be flat* R*-algebras such that* $A \otimes_R B$ *is noetherian. Then:*

i) If $A \otimes_R B$ *is almost Cohen-Macaulay, then* A_p *and* B_q *are almost Cohen-Macaulay for any* $p \in \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ *and* $q \in \mathrm{Spec}(B)$ *such that* $p \cap R = q \cap R$*;*

ii) If for any $p \in \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ *and* $q \in \mathrm{Spec}(B)$ *such that* $p \cap R = q \cap R$, A_p *and* B_q *are almost Cohen-Macaulay and one of them is Cohen-Macaulay, then* $A \otimes_R B$ *is almost Cohen-Macaulay.*

Proof: i) By [3, Proposition 2.1] there exists $P \in \mathrm{Spec}(A \otimes_R B)$ such that $P \cap A = p, P \cap B = q$. Now apply 2.13, i).
ii) Follows from 2.13, ii).

3 Tensor products of almost Cohen-Macaulay modules

Recall the following definition(cf. [19, Definition 1.1]):

Definition 3.1 *If* M *and* N *are finitely generated non-zero* A*-modules, the grade of* M *with respect to* N, *is defined by*

$$\mathrm{grade}(M, N) = \inf\{i \mid \mathrm{Ext}^i_A(M, N) \neq 0\}.$$

Remark 3.2 *By* [6, Proposition 1.2, h)] *we see that* $\mathrm{grade}(M, N)$ *is the length of a maximal* N*-regular sequence in* $\mathrm{Ann}(M)$.

Remark 3.3 *By* [4, Proposition 1.2.10, a)] *we get*

$$\mathrm{grade}(M, N) = \inf\{(\mathrm{depth}(N_P) \mid P \in \mathrm{Supp}(M)\} =$$

$$= \inf\{(\mathrm{depth}(N_P) \mid P \in \mathrm{Supp}(M) \cap \mathrm{Supp}(N)\}.$$

Definition 3.4 *If* M *and* N *are finitely generated* A*-modules and* $\mathrm{pd}(M) < \infty$, *we say that* M *is* N*-perfect if* $\mathrm{grade}(M, N) = \mathrm{pd}(M)$.

We will use several times the following fact:

Remark 3.5 (Intersection Theorem cf. [15, Theorem 8.4.4]) *If* $\mathrm{pd}_A(M) < \infty$, *then* $\dim(N) \leq \mathrm{pd}(M) + \dim(M \otimes_A N)$.

Proposition 3.6 *Let A be a noetherian local ring, M and N be finitely generated non-zero A-modules, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that:*
a) M is $N-$perfect and $\mathrm{pd}(M) := p \leq n$;
b) N satisfies the condition (C_n);
c) $\mathrm{Tor}_i^A(M,N) = 0,\ \forall i > 0$.
Then $M \otimes_A N$ satisfies the condition (C_{n-p}).

Proof: Let $P \in \mathrm{Supp}(M \otimes_A N)$. We have

$$p = \mathrm{grade}(M,N) \leq \mathrm{grade}(M_P, N_P) \leq \mathrm{pd}(M_P) \leq \mathrm{pd}(M) = p,$$

hence $\mathrm{pd}(M_P) = p$. By [2, Theorem 1.2] we obtain

$$\mathrm{depth}(M \otimes_A N)_P = \mathrm{depth}(M_P \otimes_{A_P} N_P) = \mathrm{depth}(N_P) - \mathrm{pd}(M_P) =$$

$$= \mathrm{depth}(N_P) - p \geq \min(\mathrm{ht}_N P, n) - 1 - p = \min(\mathrm{ht}_N P - p, n - p) - 1$$

and it is enough to show that $\min(\mathrm{ht}_N P - p, n-p) \geq \min(\mathrm{ht}_{M\otimes_A N} P, n-p)$. But since $\mathrm{grade}(M_P, N_P) = \mathrm{grade}((M \otimes_A N)_P, N_P)$, by [19, Theorem 2.1] we have $p \leq \mathrm{ht}_N(P) - \mathrm{ht}_{M\otimes_A N}(P)$ and this concludes the proof.

Corollary 3.7 *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, A be a noetherian local ring satisfying the condition (C_n) and let M be a perfect A-module such that $\mathrm{pd}(M) = p \leq n$. Then M satisfies the condition (C_{n-p}).*

Proposition 3.8 *Let A be a noetherian local ring and let M and N be finitely generated non-zero A-modules such that $\mathrm{Tor}_i^A(M,N) = 0,\ \forall i > 0$. If $\mathrm{pd}(M) < \infty$ and $M \otimes_A N$ is almost Cohen-Macaulay, then N is almost Cohen-Macaulay.*

Proof: Applying remarks 2.2 and 3.5 and [2, Theorem 1.2] we get

$$\dim(N) \leq \mathrm{pd}(M) + \dim(M \otimes_A N) \leq$$

$$\leq \mathrm{pd}(M) + \mathrm{depth}(M \otimes_A N) + 1 = \mathrm{depth}(N) + 1,$$

hence applying again remark 2.2 it follows that N is almost Cohen-Macaulay.

Corollary 3.9 (see [15, Corollary 8.4.5]) *Let A be a local ring having an almost Cohen-Macaulay module of finite projective dimension. Then A is an almost Cohen-Macaulay ring.*

We can prove a more general form of 3.8:

Proposition 3.10 *Let A be a noetherian local ring and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let also M and N be finitely generated non-zero A-modules such that $\mathrm{Tor}_i^A(M,N) = 0,\ \forall i > 0$. If $\mathrm{pd}(M) < \infty$ and $M \otimes_A N$ satisfies the condition (C_n), then N satisfies the condition (C_n).*

Proof: Let $P \in \mathrm{Supp}_A(N)$. Assume first that $P \in \mathrm{Supp}_A(M)$. Then $P \in \mathrm{Supp}(M \otimes_A N)$ and we have two cases:
a) $\mathrm{depth}(M \otimes_A N)_P < n-1$. Then $(M \otimes_A N)_P$ is almost Cohen-Macaulay and by Proposition 3.8 it follows that N_P is almost Cohen-Macaulay too. Hence $\mathrm{depth}(N_P) \geq \min(n, \dim(N_P)) - 1$.
b) $\mathrm{depth}(M \otimes_A N)_P \geq n-1$. Then from[2, Theorem 1.2] we obtain:

$$\mathrm{depth}(N_P) = \mathrm{depth}(M \otimes_A N)_P + \mathrm{pd}(M_P) \geq$$

$$\geq n-1 \geq \min(n-1, \mathrm{ht}_N(P)-1) = \min(n, \mathrm{ht}_N(P)) - 1.$$

Assume now that $P \notin \mathrm{Supp}_A(M)$. Let $Q \in \mathrm{Min}(\mathrm{Ann}_A(M)+P)$. By [19, Theorem 2.1] we have

$$\mathrm{depth}(N_P) \geq \mathrm{grade}(A_Q/PA_Q, N_Q) \geq \mathrm{depth}(N_Q) - \dim(A_Q/PA_Q).$$

By remark 3.5 we have

$$\dim(A_Q/PA_Q) \leq \mathrm{pd}(M_Q) + \dim(M_Q/PM_Q) = \mathrm{pd}(M_Q),$$

hence by [2, Theorem 1.2] we obtain

$$\mathrm{depth}(N_P) \geq \mathrm{depth}(N_Q) - \mathrm{pd}(M_Q) = \mathrm{depth}(M_Q \otimes_{A_Q} N_Q).$$

If $\mathrm{depth}(M_Q \otimes_{A_Q} N_Q) \geq n-1$ we have

$$\mathrm{depth}(N_P) \geq n-1 \geq \min(n-1, \mathrm{ht}_N(P)-1) = \min(n, \mathrm{ht}_N(P)) - 1,$$

as required.
In the case $\mathrm{depth}(M_Q \otimes_{A_Q} N_Q) < n-1$, by definition $M_Q \otimes_{A_Q} N_Q$ is almost Cohen-Macaulay, hence from Proposition 3.8 it follows that N_Q is almost Cohen-Macaulay. But then N_P is almost Cohen-Macaulay too and consequently

$$\mathrm{depth}(N_P) \geq \min(n, \mathrm{ht}_N(P)) - 1.$$

Corollary 3.11 *Let A be a local ring having a module of finite projective dimension satisfying the condition (C_n). Then A satisfies the condition (C_n).*

Remark 3.12 *A similar result for the condition (S_n) was proved in* [20, Proposition 4.1].

4 Direct limits of almost Cohen-Macaulay rings

Proposition 4.1 *Let $(A_i, f_{ij})_{i,j\in\Lambda}$ be a direct system of noetherian rings, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $A := \varinjlim_{i\in\Lambda} A_i$. Assume that:*

a) the ring A is noetherian;
b) the morphism f_{ij} is flat for any $i \leq j$;
c) the ring A_i satisfies the condition (C_n) for any $i \in \Lambda$.

Then A satisfies the condition (C_n).

Proof: Let $P \in \mathrm{Spec}(A), B := A_P, k := B/PB$ and for any $i \in \Lambda$, put $P_i := P \cap A_i, B_i := (A_i)_{P_i}$ and $k_i := B_i/P_iB_i$. There exists $i_0 \in \Lambda$ such that $P = P_iB$ for any $i \geq i_0$, hence $k = B \otimes_{B_i} k_i$. But the morphism $B_i \to B$ is flat, hence $\dim(B_i) = \dim(B)$ and $\mathrm{depth}(B_i) = \mathrm{depth}(B)$ for any $i \geq i_0$. The assertion follows from 2.2.

Corollary 4.2 *Let $(A_i, f_{ij})_{i,j\in\Lambda}$ be a direct system of noetherian rings and let $A := \varinjlim_{i\in\Lambda} A_i$. Assume that:*

a) the ring A is noetherian;

b) the morphism f_{ij} is flat for any $i \leq j$;

c) the ring A_i is almost Cohen-Macaulay for any $i \in \Lambda$.

Then A is almost Cohen-Macaulay.

Proof: Let us give a direct proof, slightly different to the one coming out at once from 4.1. We will apply [5, Corollary 2.3]. Let $P \subseteq Q$ be two prime ideals in A and for any $i \in \Lambda$ let $P_i := P \cap A_i, Q_i := Q \cap A_i, B_i := (A_i)_{P_i}, C_i := (A_i)_{Q_i}$. Let also $B := A_P$ and $C := A_Q$. Then, as in the proof of 4.1, there exists $i_0 \in \Lambda$ such that $P = P_iB$ and $Q = Q_iB$ for any $i \geq i_0$. Since A_i is almost Cohen-Macaulay it follows by [5, Corollary 2.3] that $\mathrm{depth}(B_i) \leq \mathrm{depth}(C_i)$ and consequently, by flatness, $\mathrm{depth}(B) = \mathrm{depth}(B_i) \leq \mathrm{depth}(C_i) = \mathrm{depth}(C)$. Now we apply again [5, Corollary 2.3].

Proposition 4.3 *Let $((A_i, m_i, k_i), f_{ij})_{i,j\in\Lambda}$ be a direct system of noetherian local rings and let $A := \varinjlim_{i\in\Lambda} A_i$. Assume that:*

a) $m_iA_j = m_j, \ \forall i \leq j$;

b) for any $i \in \Lambda$ and for any ideal I of A_i we have $IA \cap A_i = I$;

c) A_i is an almost Cohen-Macaulay ring for any $i \in \Lambda$.

Then A is almost Cohen-Macaulay.

Proof: By [14] and [8, $(0_{III}, 10.3.1.3)$], the ring A is a local noetherian ring, with maximal ideal $m = m_iA, \forall i \in \Lambda$. Let $i_0 \in \Lambda$ be such that $\dim(A_j) = \dim(A), \ \forall j \geq i_0$(see [1, Lemma 3.10]) and let $x_1, \ldots, x_s \in A_{i_0}$ be a system of parameters. Set $Q_j = (x_1, \ldots, x_s)A_j, \forall j \geq i_0$ and $Q = (x_1, \ldots, x_s)A$. Then

$$m = m_{i_0}A = \sqrt{Q_{i_0}}A \subseteq \sqrt{Q_{i_0}A} \subseteq m,$$

whence $x_1, \ldots, x_s$ is a system of parameters in A. The same argument shows that $x_1, \ldots, x_s$ is a system of parameters in $A_j, \ \forall j \geq i_0$. If A_i is CM for all $i \in \Lambda$, it follows by [1, Corollary 3.7] that A is Cohen-Macaulay and we are done. Assume not. Since A_j is almost CM, by [11, Theorem 1.7], there exists $l \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$ such that $\{x_1, \ldots, \widehat{x_l}, \ldots, x_s\}$ is a regular sequence. We assume $l = 1$, that is $\{x_2, \ldots, x_s\}$ is a regular sequence in A_{i_0}. As $\{x_1, \ldots, x_{s-1}, x_s\}$ is not a regular sequence in A_{i_0}, it cannot be a regular sequence in $A_j, \ \forall j \geq i_0$. But $x_1, \ldots, x_s$ is a system of parameters in $A_j, \ \forall j \geq i_0$. We will show that we can assume that $x_2, \ldots, x_s$ remains a regular sequence in $A_j, \ \forall j \geq i_0$. If this is so, it follows that $x_2, \ldots, x_s$ is a regular sequence in A and again by [11, Theorem 1.7] we

get that A is almost Cohen-Macaulay. So, assume that there exists $j_1 \geq i_0$ such that $\{x_2, \ldots, x_s\}$ is not a regular sequence in A_{j_1}. First we observe that then $\{x_2, \ldots, x_s\}$ is not regular in A_k, $\forall k \geq j_1$. Since A_{j_1} is almost Cohen-Macaulay, it follows that, for example, $\{x_1, \widehat{x_2}, x_3, \ldots, x_s\} = \{x_1, x_3, \ldots, x_s\}$ is a regular sequence in A_{j_1}. Assume that there exists $j_2 \geq j_1$ such that $\{x_1, \widehat{x_2}, x_3, \ldots, x_s\}$ is not a regular sequence in A_{j_2}. Then there exists $j_2 \geq j_1$ such that, for example, $\{x_1, x_2, x_4, \ldots, x_s\}$ is a regular sequence. Continuing we obtain an index $l_0 \in \Lambda$, such that $\{x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, \widehat{x_i}, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_s\}$ is not a regular sequence in A_l, $\forall\, l \geq l_0$ and $\forall\, i = 1, \ldots, s$. Contradiction.

Example 4.4 *Let $K \subset L$ be an infinite algebraic field extension. Then $L = \bigcup_{i\in\Lambda} K_i$, where K_i are the finite field subextensions of L. For any $i \in \Lambda$, let $A_i := K_i[[X^4, X^3Y, XY^3, Y^4]]$. It is easy to see that for any $i \in \Lambda$ we have $\operatorname{depth}(A_i) = 1$ and $\dim(A_i) = 2$, that is A_i is an almost Cohen-Macaulay ring which is not Cohen-Macaulay. Moreover $A := \bigcup_{i\in\Lambda} A_i \subsetneq L[[X^4, X^3Y, XY^3, Y^4]]$ and the family $(A_i)_{i\in\Lambda}$ satisfies the conditions in 4.3. Hence A is an almost Cohen-Macaulay ring.*

Acknowledgements: This paper was partiallly prepared while the first author was visiting Institut Teknologi Bandung. He thanks this institution for support and hospitality.

References

[1] M. Asgharzadeh, M. Dorreh and M. Tousi. Direct limits of Cohen-Macaulay rings. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 218:1730–1744, 2014. .

[2] M. Auslander. Modules over unramified regular local rings. *Illinois J. Math.*, 5:631–647, 1961.

[3] S. Bouchiba, J. Conde-Lago and J. Majadas. Cohen-Macaulay, Gorenstein, Complete Intersection and Regular Defect for the Tensor Product of Algebras, preprint arXiv 1512.0280.

[4] W. Bruns and J. Herzog. *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, 2nd Edition. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1998.

[5] L. Chu, Z. Tang and H. Tang. A note on almost Cohen-Macaulay modules. *J. Algebra Appl.* 14:1550136, 2015.

[6] H.-B. Foxby. On the μ^i in a minimal injective resolution. *Math. Scand.*, 29:175–186, 1971. 175–186.

[7] R. Fröberg. A note on the Stanley-Reisner ring of a join and a suspension. *Manuscripta Math.*, 60:89–91, 1988.

[8] A. Grothendieck. Eléments de géometrie algèbrique, *Publ. Math. IHES*, 24, 1967.

[9] Y. Han. D-rings. *Acta Math. Sinica* 4:1047–1052, 1998.

[10] C. Ionescu. More properties of almost Cohen-Macaulay rings. *J. Commut. Algebra* 7:363–372, 2015.

[11] M.C. Kang. Almost Cohen-Macaulay modules. *Comm. Algebra* 29:781–787, 2001.

[12] A. Mafi and S. Tabejamaat. Results on almost Cohen-Macaulay modules. *J. Algebraic Systems* 3:147–150, 2016.

[13] H. Matsumura. *Commutative Algebra*, 2nd Edition. Benjamin Cummings, Reading Massachusets, 1980.

[14] T. Ogoma. Noetherian property of inductive limits of Noetherian local rings. *Proc. Japan. Acad., Ser. A, Math. Sci.,* 67:68–69, 1991.

[15] J. R. Strooker. *Homological Questions in Local Algebra*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 145, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990.

[16] M. Tabaâ. Sur le produit tensoriel d'algèbres. *Math. Scand.* 119:5–13, 2016.

[17] S. Tabejamaat and A. Mafi. About a Serre-type condition for modules. *J. Algebra Appl.*, 16:1750206, 2017.

[18] M. Tousi and S. Yassemi. Tensor products of some special rings. *J. Algebra* 268:672–675, 2003.

[19] S. Yassemi, L. Khatami and T. Sharif. Grade and Gorenstein dimension. *Comm. Algebra*, 29:5085–5094, 2001.

[20] K. Yoshida. Tensor products of perfect modules and maximal surjective Buchsbaum Modules. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 123:313–326, 1998.

Simion Stoilow Institute of
Mathematics of the Romanian
Academy
P. O. Box 1-764
Bucharest 014700

Romania
email: cristodor.ionescu@imar.ro
and

Department of Mathematics
Payame Noor University
P.O. Box 19395-3697
Tehran
Iran
email: samanetabejamaat_golestan@yahoo.com